

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The office action dated June 8, 2009 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

By this Response, claim 1 is amended. No new matter is added. Accordingly, claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12 and 21 are currently pending, of which claims 15-20 are withdrawn as the result of an earlier restriction requirement. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,063,339 to Tisone et al. (hereinafter "Tisone"). . Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted art in view of Tisone.

The rejection of claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12, and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested. Since Applicants have amended independent claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that this rejection is traversed.

The rejection of claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tisone is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested. The rejection of claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted art in view of Tisone is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

Claim 1 is allowable at least in that this claim recites a combination of elements, including, for example, "a plurality of syringes affixed at each support to dispense a material on

the substrate, the radius of the syringe being larger than the distance between the dispensing start points of the neighboring image display parts” and “the syringes at one support dispense the material on the odd image display parts and then the syringes at the support dispense the material on the even image display parts after shifting the syringe.” The cited references do not teach or suggest at least these features of the claimed invention.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 and claims 2-3, 5-9, 11-12 and 21, which depend directly and indirectly from claim 1, are allowable over the cited references.

Applicants believe the foregoing Response places the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Application No.: 10/717,542
Amendment dated September 4, 2009
Response to Office Action dated June 8, 2009

Docket No.: 8734.257 US

Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Dated: September 4, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Valerie P. Hayes/

Valerie P. Hayes

Registration No.: 53,005

McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

1900 K Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 496-7500

Attorneys for Applicant